

4-5 TOYOMI-CHO, CHUO-KU, TOKYO 104-0055 JAPAN PHONE: +81-3-3536-6521 FAX: +81-3536-6522

MEDIA RELEASE 22 November 2006

## AUSTRALIA'S ANTI-SCIENCE STANCE TO WHALING ON SHOW AGAIN

Australia is again showing its inability to comprehend even the most basic requirements for ensuring sustainable fisheries and is demonstrating its complete unwillingness to stop forcing its anti-whaling views on others.

Japan's research fleet headed to the Antarctic last week to conduct the second year of the 2<sup>nd</sup> phase Whale Research Program in the Antarctic (JARPA II) conducted by the Institute of Cetacean Research.

"Last year, Australia provided much moral support towards the illegal activities of Greenpeace and Sea Shepherd. Both groups harassed and dangerously interfered with our research, putting the lives of our crew and scientists at risk," the Director-General, Dr Hiroshi Hatanaka said today.

"Senator Campbell feels Australia has some ownership over Antarctic waters and says it will explore all options to prevent the research from going ahead, despite the fact that the research is perfectly legitimate under the International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling, to which Australia itself has adhered. This attitude is aimed at stopping science from showing some whale species, like other fishery resources, are able to be utilised quite sustainably in the Antarctic. Our program looks for factors that will lead to increased knowledge of Antarctic whale resources and help the IWC improve management, especially the regime for setting sustainable catch guotas."

"This year's program will add to the results already reported to and admired by substantial numbers of scientists at the IWC Scientific Committee each year. Also this is in part a response to calls by some participants at the IWC-SC that there is not enough science to justify a resumption of commercial whaling while they reject a truly scientific program such as JARPA II without purely scientific reasoning."

Dr Hatanaka added: "Australia justifies its anti-whaling viewpoint by saying there's a lack of science and vet it opposes the gathering of scientific information. If he and his so-called scientific community weren't biased and coming from a position that hunting any whale is wrong, then Senator Campbell might open his eyes a little - birthing and mortality rates can only be obtained through lethal research, and the challenge is open for him to prove his non-lethal method can be as accurate as ours."

Additionally, the number of whales taken in our research program is the smallest required to obtaining statistically valid information. "I think Senator Campbell would have us believe that even just a dozen whales for research would represent commercial numbers of whales and not science, but unfortunately that's not the case. He always makes such accusations without any scientific rationale."

Dr Hatanaka also said, as he has repeatedly before, that he would welcome Australia to demonstrate how non-lethal research would obtain information needed to improve the management of whale resources. "Whales probably don't need to be killed to obtain some information that Australia may want, but it doesn't help towards the best management regime including sustainable use."

"The world is realising that there is no need or reason to prevent sustainable commercial whaling in the Antarctic under IWC management procedures. We are seeing more nations at the IWC realise the moratorium is outdated and no longer necessary and this is a result of Japan's research program in the Antarctic," Dr Hatanaka said.

ENDS

For further information contact ICR spokespeople: Glenn Inwood, +64 21 498 010 Gabriel Gomez +81 3 3536 8227