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## INTRODUCTION

There are some difference in the considerations regarding separation and the combination of the various genera of Delphinidae according to different authors. According to the checklists by J.E. Gray, he separated them into three families Balaenidae, Catodontidae and Delphinidae, in the suborder Cete in 1850, but he corrected it to six families, Catodontidae, Ziphiidae, Platanistidae, Iniidae, Delphinidae and Globicephalidae, in the section Denticeti in 1866. Some of the previous authors after Gray have written on separation into families other than already established. The separation considered differ according to the individual author however the reasons given by each are most reasonable, as for instance, some of the previous authors have separated them into Kogiidae, Phocaenidae and. Monodontidae (Delphinapteridae) as well as Physeteridae, Ziphiiddae, Platanistidae and Delphinidae. There is very clear reason for these separations, and the author could think it reasonable. None of them however, separated the Globicephalidae from the Delphinidae in the suborder Odontoceti. If a genus of a family has some different characters from another genera of the family, it may be recognized as a subfamily or an individual family. The author if possible does not wish to apply subfamily as a general principle. It is necessary to consider, of cause, the genera is derived from what kind of ancestral animals.

It is easy to understand that in the family Physeteridae, the subfamily Kogiinae was separated with the subfamily Physeterinae, and then the subfamily Kogiinae was made an independent family Kogiidae. The author would like to induce separations of the family Delphinidae as mentioned above.

The following names of the living genera were included in the family Delphhiidae by recent authors. Delphinus, Stenella, Tursiops; Lagenorhynchus, Lissodelphis, Steno, Sotalia, Cephalorhynchus, Globicephala, Pseudorca, Feresa, Grampus, Grampidelphis and Orcaella. These genera have one to ten species, so the family Delphinidae is the largest family in the suborder Odontoceti. The author already recognized that Phocaena; Phocaenoides and Neomeris were included in the family Phoocaenidae indepdendently from the family Delphinidae.

It is considered that there are apparently two types in the family Delphinidae. One has a pungent beak, numerous teeth and small in size, another has a beakless blunt head, few teeth and moderate in size. The various characters of these types must be studied.

Gephalorhynchus and Sotalia are considered in the first type, but the author has no detailed descriptions on these genera, so the author with much regret is unable

[^0]to discuss on these genera.

## bODY LENGTH AND NUMBER OF VERTEBRAE

It was clear that the total number of vertebrae, including cervical, dorsal (thoracic), lumbar and caudal vertebrae, were different in each of the genera.


Fig. 1. Relation between body length and number of vertebrae in various genera of smaller toothed whales.
Explanation of the marks in the figures.
The marks $O$ are the genera with only the Atlas fused to the Axis; the marks indicate those in witch the Atlas is fused with more than two other cervicals. The genus Orcaella is shown with the mark ©, and the mark shows the genus Grampidelphis. The marks $\times$ are the genera of the family Phocaenidae, and the marks + are the genera of the family Monodontidae. The mark $\triangle$ shows the family Kogiidae. The attached number of marks represent the name of the genera. 1: Monodon, 2: Delphinapterus, 3: Orcaella, 4: Delphinus, 5: Stenella, 6: Lissodelphis, 7: Steno, 8: Lagenorhynchus, 9: Tursiops, 10: Feresa, 11:Grampus, 12: Pseudorca, 13: Globicephala, 14: Glampidelphis, 15: Neomeris, 16: Phocoena, 17: Phocaenoides and 18: Kogia.

Fig. 1 shows that the total number of vertebrae, whether small or large, does not affect the body length. The average of a number of species of each genera are given in the figure, but as for the body length, the measurements of full grown male have been given. Usually male is bigger than female in the suborder Odontoceti. According to this figure, the large sized genera have smaller number of
vertebrae, as compared with the larger number of vertebrae in the smaller sized genera. This suggests that each vertebral bone is bigger in the large sized genera.

These figures (Fig. 1-Fig. 3) also show the positions of the genera of the families Kogiidae, Monodontidae and Phcaenidae which are already separated from the family Delphinidae by the previous authors.

## FUSED BONES IN CERVICAL VERTEBRAE

The very important character is which of the seven cervical vertebrae are fused. (As it is well known, there is no genus which has six or eight cervical vertebrae in the order Cetacea.)

In the family Physeteridae, the Atlas is free, but the Axis to the seventh cervical are all fused, but the all cervicals are fused in the case of the family Kogiidae. The family Ziphiidae has fused cervicals, from the Atlas to the Axis or to the fourth. All free cervical vertebrae are observed in the case of the family Monodontidae and the Platanistidae.

In the case of the genera in the family Delphinidae, it is described that at least the first and the second cervical vertebrae are fused together. Some authors mention that " at least two bones are fused" but the author on examining this character have found the following facts.

The names of the genera with only the Atlas and the Axis fused, are Delphinus, Stenella, Lagenorhinchus, Tursiops, Lissodelphis, Steno and Orcaella (Cephalorhynchus and Sotalia were not studied). The author observed, however, in this genera especially in the Stenella or the Delphinus, the third cervical became fused with the Axis after growth. It seems that these cases have not occurred due to the hereditary nature, but to the ageal changes. These seven genera are small in size and have a pungent beak, except the genus Orcaella.

The genus Orcaella (Irawaddy dolphin) has a beakless blunt head, moderate number of teeth (15-17/12-14) and small in size. They are very different in character from both types of the Delphinidae. Furthermore the Orcaella is generally fluviatile and comparatively restricted in distribution. The author would first like to separate this genus Orcaella from the family Delphinidae.

Another genera in the family Delphinidae have not only the Atlas and the Axis fused but also the third or more cervical vertebrae fused to the Atlas. In the case of the genus Feresa, the Atlas to the third cervicals are fused, and in the genus Grampus the cervicals are fused from the Atlas to the fourth. The genera in which the Atlas is fused to the sixth cervical vertebrae are the Globicephala, Pseudorca and Grampidelphis. These five genera have a beakless blunt head, fewer number of teeth (under fifteen in a tooth row) and some of them reach moderate in size.

## SHAPE OF SKULL

The characteristics of the skull are very important, and a most intricate method of measurement is employed, however it would be too complicated to explain the
method here, therefore, in this paper only the length of the skull and the length of the rostrum will be treated as the ratio of their breadth. The length of the skull is the straight length from the middle of the occipital conuyles to the tip of the snout when the skull is situated horizontally. By the breadth of the skull, the greatest width of the skull is meant and this is usually equal to the breadth across the middle of the orbits. The length of the rostrum is obtained by measuring the base, and this is almost equal to the length between antorbital notches.

Fig. 2 shows the relation between the length/breadth ratio of the skull and the length/breadth ratio of the rostrum. In the figure the plotted points are arranged from underleft to upperright. The open circles are the genera in which are Atlas


Fig. 2. Relation between skull and snout on their slenderness in various genera of smaller toothed whales.
only is fused to the Axis, and the closed circles indicated those in which the Atlas is fused more than three cervicals. There is one exception however and that is the genus Orcaella shown with an open circle with a point. It is clearly separated into two groups, the open circle group is the Delphinus type which have long slender rostrum (over twice the breadth in length), and the closed circle group is the Globicephala type which have short broad rostrum (1.5 times of breadth or less in length). The long skull length of the Delphinus type group is mainly due to its rostrum length, but in the Globicephala type group the brain case is wider. In this figure the genus Orcaella occupies a special position near the genus Neomeris of the family Phocaenidae.

## NUMBER OF MAXILLARY TEETH AND LENGTH OF ROSTRUM

It can be considered that the longer rostrum has more teeth than the shorter rostrum. Fig. 3 shows the relation between the number of maxillary teeth and the length/ breadth ratio of the rostrum. In the figure the long rostrum generally has more teeth. The genus Steno has the longest ratio of the rostrum but surprisingly the number of teeth are relatively small (20-27 in each tooth row). This is caused by the following reason. The teeth size is relatively large and the rostrum is comparatively slender. In this figure also the open circled genera have formed a group (relatively widely diffused) and the closed circle genera have formed another group.


Fig. 3. Relation between number of teeth and length breadth ratio of snout in various genera of smaller toothed whales.

However the genus Grampidelphis has no maxillary teeth and does not belong to either of the groups. This may be a reason for separating it into an individual group.

The genera of the family Monodontidae and the Phocaenidae each form a different group. The genus Orcaella also occupies a special position near the genus Neomeris. In these two genera (Orcaella and Neomeris), the shape of the teeth are quite different, but no discussion on the matter will be taken up here.

It is possible to discriminate them into groups by elaborating on the relationship of the foregoing elements, however the author has refrained from illustrating them in figures, because it would be too difficult to explain their connection.

## CONCLUSION

Some taxonomical considerations are made on the reasons already mentioned above.
In the fourteen genera of the family Delphinidae, the six genera are accepted as the Delphinus type, and the five genera are considered as the Globicephala type. The genus Orcaella is being separated from the two types. The author considers that the family Phocaenidae is already separated from the family Delphinidae by the previous authors. The Delphinus type genera generally have a long slender beak (over twice the breadth in length of rostrum), numerous teeth (over 20 teeth in each tooth row) and relatively small in size (under 13 feet). This type also has the cervical vertebrae with only the Atlas fused with the Axis. The genera of the Delphinus type are Delphinus, Stenella, Lagenorhynchus, Tursiops, Lissodelphis and Steno (Sotalia and Cephalorhynchus may be included in this type, but these two genera are excluded from this study because the available data was scanty). The author considers these genera should be included in the family Delphinidae.

The genus Orcaella should be separated from the family Delphinidae and independently form the new family Orcaellidae.

The Globicephala type genera generally have a beakless blunt head (under 1.6 breadth in length of skull), fewer teeth (under 15 in each tooth row) and some of them reach moderate in size (over 25 feet). Furthermore the cervical vertebrae of this type are recognized as having the Atlas fused not only with the Axis, but also with the third or more cervicals. The genera of the Globicephala type are Globicephala, Feresa, Pseudorca, Grampus and Grampidelphis, and these genera should be separated from the family Delphinidae. The author would like to propose that the family Globicephalidae has come to the fore again and that these genera should belong to this family.

Though the morphological characters should be examined very carefully, differences which are too minor should not be considered in making. Neverthless the separation should be made with confidence, if the feature can be clearly differenciated. In the taxonomical consideration of animals, making too many species, genera or families may be indiscreet, but using subfamilies are not an adequate way also.

Each genus of the family Globicephalidae has its own special characters, but the most distinct feature in the majority of them is no teeth on the upper jaw. The genus which has this feature is the Grampidelphis. The author would like to venture to set up the new family Globidelphinidae.

As a conclusion, the author considers that the genera of the foregoing family Delphinidae should be separated into the Delphinidae, Orcaellidae, Globicephalidae and Globidelphinidae families.

The author in the following table shows a key to the living families of Odontoceti through his examination of the characters of the genera.

## KEY TO THE LIVING FAMILIES OF ODONTOCETI

$1_{1}$ Tip of lower jaw ending an appreciable distance behind foremost part of head; blowhole far forward on head.
2. Head massive, $1 / 4$ to $1 / 3$ of body length ; functional teeth large, 18 to 28 pairs in number, confined to lower jaw ; dorsal fin an ill-defined lump ; flipper rounded ; size large ( 30 to 60 feet).
$2_{2}$ Head $1 / 6$ of body length ; functional teeth small, slender and curved, 9 to 16 pairs confined to lower jaw ; dorsal fin well developed ; flippers tapering ; size small (9 to 13 feet).

Physeteridae
Kogidaue
$1_{2}$ Lower jaw extending at least as far as tip of snout ; blowhole some distance from tip of snout.
$3_{1}$ Two conspicurous grooves forming a V-shape on the surface of throat blubber ; dorsal fin present, considerably behind middle of body; no notch in middle of hinder margin of flukes.

Ziphiidae
$3_{2}$ No grooving on throat; dorsal fin when present at or near middle of body; notch in middle of hinder margin of flukes.
$4_{1}$ Seven cervical vertebrae all separate from one another.
$5_{1}$ Dorsal fin absent or rudimentary; beak absent; inhabits Arctic regeon.
Monodontidae
$5_{2}$ Dorsal fin present but almost low; beak extremely long (1/6 to $1 / 7$ of body length); inhabits tropical fresh water; teeth very numerous in upper and lower jaws.

Platanistidae
$4_{2}$ Two or more cervical vertebrae fused.
61 Only atlas and axis fused.
71 Beak long and narrow (breath of snout less than $1 / 2$ of its length) ; more than 20 teeth in each row of upper jaw; size small (less than 13 feet).

Delphinidae
$7_{2}$ Beak absent; less than 20 teeth in each row of upper jaw; size small. Orcellidae
$6_{2}$ Not only atlas and axis fused, but also third or more cervical vertebrae fused.
$8_{1}$ Head without distinct beak; each row of upper teeth more than 15 ; body length less than 8 feet.

Phocaenidae
$8_{2}$ Head without distinct beak; each row of upper teeth less than 15 ; body length more than 8 feet.
$9_{1}$ Teeth present in upper jaw.
Globicephalidae
$9_{2}$ Teeth absent in upper jaw.
Globidelphinidae
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Appendix: Body form and osteological characters on the various genera of smaller toothed whales.

| ```Genus name and out line of typical body form``` | Body length in feet | Ventral view of skull of typical species | $\binom{\text { Number of vertebrae }}{\left(\begin{array}{l} \text { Detailed number with }  \tag{2}\\ \text { number of fused cer- } \\ \text { vicals } \& \text { number of two } \\ \text { headed ribs } \end{array}\right.}$ | Number of phalangeal bones (Included metacarpals) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Dentition } \\ \frac{\text { Upper }}{\text { Lower }} \text { of a side } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Leng <br> (in typic of rostrum | breath io species) of skull |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monodon | 12 |  | $50 \sim 55$    <br> C $\vdots$ $(0)$  <br> D $:$ $11 \sim 12$ $(?)$ <br> L $6 \sim 10$   <br> Ca $:$ $26 \sim 27$  | $\begin{aligned} \text { I: } & 1 \sim 2 \\ \text { III: } & 5 \sim 8 \\ \text { III: } & 4 \sim 6 \\ \text { IV } & 2 \sim 4 \\ \text { V } & 2 \sim 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{l}}{0}$ | 1.31 | 1.66 |
| Delphinapterus | 18 |  | $50 \sim$    <br> C    <br> D $\vdots$ $(0)$  <br> L $\vdots$ $(?)$  <br> $\mathrm{Ca}:$ $\sim$   <br>  $\sim$   | $\begin{aligned} \text { I: } & 1 \sim 2 \\ \text { II : } & 6 \sim 9 \\ \text { III : } & 4 \sim 5 \\ \text { IV }: & 2 \sim 4 \\ \text { V: } & 2 \sim 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{8 \sim 10}{8 \sim 10}$ | 1.50 | 1,79 |
|  | 7 |  | $\begin{array}{c:c} 62 \sim 63 \\ \mathrm{C} & :  \tag{4}\\ \mathrm{D} & : 13 \sim 14 \\ \mathrm{~L} & : 13 \sim 14 \\ \mathrm{Ca} & : 27 \sim 28 \end{array}$ | I: 2 <br> II: 8 <br> III: 6 <br> IV: 3 <br> V: $0 \sim 1$. | $\frac{15 \sim 17}{12 \sim 14}$ | 1.10 | 1.41 |
|  | $71 / 2$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} \text { I: } & 1 \sim 2 \\ \text { II : } & 7 \sim 9 \\ \text { III : } & 6 \sim 7 \\ \text { IV } & 2 \sim 4 \\ \text { V }: & 1 \sim 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{47 \sim 65}{47 \sim 65}$ | 2.93 | 2.22 |
|  | 8 |  | $\begin{array}{rlc} 68 \sim 81 \\ \mathrm{C} & : & 7 \\ \mathrm{D} & : & 14 \sim 16  \tag{5}\\ \mathrm{~L} & : & 21 \sim 24 \\ \mathrm{Ca}: & : 31 \sim 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { I : } & 1 \\ \text { II : } & 9 \\ \text { III }: & 7 \\ \text { IV : } & 4 \\ \text { V : } & 1\end{aligned}$ | $\frac{34 \sim 56}{34 \sim 56}$ | 2.40 | 2.00 |
| Lissodelphis $\qquad$ | 8 |  |  | II: $1 \sim 2$ <br> II : 8 <br> III: 6 <br> IV : 3 <br> V: $2 \sim 3$ | $\frac{40 \sim 43}{42 \sim 46}$ | 2.18 | 2.17 |


| ```Genus name and out line of typical body form``` | Body length in feet | Ventral view of skull of typical species | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l} \text { Number of vertebrae }  \tag{2}\\ \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { Detailed number with } \\ \text { number of fused cer- } \\ \text { vicals } 8 \text { number of two } \\ \text { headed ribs } \end{array}\right. \end{array}\right.\right)$ | Numrbe of phalangeal bones (Included metacarpals) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Dentition } \\ \frac{\text { Upper }}{\text { Lower }} \text { of a side } \end{array}$ | Length rat (in typica of rostrum | breath <br> species) <br> of skull |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 |  | $\begin{array}{c:cc} { }^{66} \mathrm{C} & : & 7 \\ \mathrm{D} & \vdots & (2) \\ \mathrm{L} & : 15 \sim 16 & (4 \sim 5) \\ \mathrm{Ca} & : & 30 \sim 31 \tag{6} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rc} \text { I: } & 3 \\ \text { II: } & 8 \sim 9 \\ \text { III: } & 6 \sim 7 \\ \text { VI: } & 3 \\ \text { V: } & 2 \end{array}$ | $\frac{20 \sim 27}{20 \sim 27}$ | 3.18 | 2.25 |
|  | 9 |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { I: } & 1 \sim 2 \\ \text { II: } & 10 \\ \text { III: } & 6 \\ \text { IV : } & 2 \sim^{3} \\ \text { V: } & 2 \end{array}$ | $\frac{22 \sim 45}{22 \sim 45}$ | 2.02 | 1.74 |
|  | 12 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \sim 66 \\ & \mathrm{C}: \\ & \mathrm{D}: 12 \sim 14 \\ & \mathrm{~L}: \\ & \mathrm{Ca}: 17 \sim 19 \\ & \sim 26 \sim 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { I: } & 1 \sim 2 \\ \text { III: } & 7 \sim 9 \\ \text { II } & 5 \sim 8 \\ \text { IV } & 2 \sim 3 \\ \text { : } & 1 \sim 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{20 \sim 26}{20 \sim 26}$ | 2.32 | 2.04 |
|  | 8 |  |  | $\begin{array}{rc} \text { I : } & 2 \sim 3 \\ \text { II : } & 8 \sim 9 \\ \text { III : } & 7 \sim 8 \\ \text { IV : } & 3 \sim 5 \\ \text { V }: & 2 \sim 3 \end{array}$ | $\frac{10 \sim 12}{10 \sim 13}$ | 1.84 | 1.62 |
|  | 30 |  | $50 \sim 52$   <br> C $:$ 7 <br> D $:$ $11 \sim 12$ <br> L $:$ 10 <br> Ca $:$ $21 \sim 24$ | $\begin{array}{rc} \text { I: } & 2 \\ \text { II: } & 6 \sim 7 \\ \text { III: } & 4 \sim 5 \\ \text { IV } & 3 \sim 4 \\ \text { V: } & 2 \sim 3 \end{array}$ | $\frac{10 \sim 13}{10 \sim 13}$ | 1.36 | 1.36 |
|  | 18 |  | $\begin{array}{lcc} 50 & \\ \mathrm{C}: & 7 & (6) \\ \mathrm{D} & \vdots & 10 \\ \mathrm{~L}: & (6) \sim 10 \\ \mathrm{Ca}: & 22 \sim 24 \end{array}$ | I : 1 <br> II : 6 <br> III: 5 <br> IV : 2 <br> V : 1 | $\frac{8 \sim 11}{8 \sim 11}$ | 1.49 | 1.62 |

* A herd of Feresa (seven males and seven females) was caught in the Sagami Bay of the Pacific coast of Japan, on 28 January 1962. All of them are being examined at the Whales Research Institute, Tokyo.
Appendix (continued)

| ```Genus name and out line of typical body form``` | Body length in feet | Ventral view of skull of typical species | $\binom{\text { Number of vertebrae }}{\left(\begin{array}{l} \text { Detailed number with }  \tag{6}\\ \text { number of fused cer- } \\ \text { vicals \& number of two } \\ \text { headed ribs } \end{array}\right.}$ | Number of phalangeal bones <br> (Inchuded metacarpals) | Dentition <br> Upper <br> Lower a side | Length ra (in typica of rostrum | reath species) of skull |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 28 |  | $\begin{align*} & 57 \sim 60 \\ & \mathrm{C}: \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{align*}: 11 \sim 12(6)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I : } 3 \sim 4 \\ & \text { II }: 9 \sim 14 \\ & \text { III }: 9 \sim 11 \\ & \text { IV }: 2 \sim 3 \\ & V: 1 \sim 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{2 \sim 7}$ | 1.30 | 1.50 |
|  | 13 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \sim 69 \\ & \mathrm{C}: \\ & \mathrm{D}: \\ & \mathrm{D}: 12 \sim 13 \\ & \mathrm{~L}: \\ & \mathrm{Ca}: \\ & \mathrm{Ca}: \\ & \hline 17 \sim 18 \\ & \sim 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rc} \text { II: } & 2 \\ \text { II: } & 8 \sim 10 \\ \text { III }: 5 \sim 8 \\ \text { IV }: & 3 \sim 5 \\ \text { V: } & 1 \end{array}$ | $\frac{7 \sim 12}{7 \sim 12}$ | 1.04 | 1.25 |
| Neomeris | 6 |  | $\begin{align*} & 58 \sim 63 \\ & \mathrm{C}: \quad{ }^{5}  \tag{12}\\ & \mathrm{D}:  \tag{7}\\ & \mathrm{L}:  \tag{8}\\ & \mathrm{L}: 12 \sim 14 \\ & \mathrm{Ca}: \\ & \mathrm{Ca} \\ & \hline 15 \sim 31 \end{align*}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { I: } & 2 \\ \text { II : } & 5 \sim 7 \\ \text { II : } & 5 \sim 6 \\ \text { IV : } & 3 \\ \text { V: } & 2 \end{array}$ | $\frac{15 \sim 19}{15 \sim 19}$ | 1.03 | 1.51 |
|  | 6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62~66 } \\ & \text { C }: \quad 7 \\ & \text { D } \end{aligned}: 12 \sim 14$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { I : } & 1 \sim 3 \\ \text { II : } & 5 \sim 10 \\ \text { III }: & 5 \sim 8 \\ \text { IV } & 2 \sim 6 \\ \text { V: } & 1 \sim 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{23 \sim 27}{23 \sim 27}$ | 1.39 | 1.75 |
|  | 7 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \sim 98 \\ & \mathrm{C}: \\ & \mathrm{D}: \\ & \mathrm{L}: 15 \sim 18 \\ & \mathrm{~L} a: 24 \sim 27 \\ & \mathrm{Ca}:: 44 \sim 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rc} \text { I: } & 1 \\ \text { II: } & 6 \\ \text { III: } & 4 \sim 5 \\ \text { IV : } & 1 \sim 2 \\ \text { V } & 0 \sim 1 \end{array}$ | $\frac{23 \sim 27}{23 \sim 27}$ | 1.47 | 2.10 |
|  | 13 |  | $\begin{array}{c:c} 50 \sim 51 \\ \mathrm{C} & 7 \\ \mathrm{D}: & 12 \sim 13 \\ \mathrm{~L} & : 10 \sim 12 \\ \mathrm{Ca}: & 24 \sim 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rc} \text { I: } & 2 \\ \text { II: } & 5 \sim 8 \\ \text { III } & 4 \sim 8 \\ \text { IV } & 4 \sim 8 \\ \text { V } & 2 \sim 7 \end{array}$ | $\frac{6 \sim 8}{9 \sim 16}$ | 0.79 | 1.03 |


[^0]:    * Dedicated to Professor T. Ogawa for his sixtieth birthday

